UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HERMY A. FU	ENTES			
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 08-02210-MMC		
PRIMERICA LI COMPANY	v. IFE INSURANCE Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS		
	sel report that they have met and confo pulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 ar	erred regarding ADR and have reached the ad ADR L.R. 3-5:		
The parties a	gree to participate in the following Af	PR process:		
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)				
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)				
Priva: ✓	te Process: Private ADR (please identify process.	s and provider) Unknown at this time		
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)				
✓	other requested deadline 180 days from the date of the order referring the case to ADR			
Dated: July 14,	2008	Attorney for Plaintiff		
Dated: July 14,	2008	Attorney for Defendant		

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pu	Non-binding Arbitration (FNE)	ned matter is hereby referred to:
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation Private ADR	
De ✓	eadline for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order. other 180 days from the date of	
IT IS SO	ORDERED.	
Dated:		The Honorable Judge Maxine M. Chesney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE